IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEMETRIUS BAILEY,)	
Petitioner,)	Civil Action No. 09-265
v.)	Judge McVerry
DAVID WAKEFELD, et al.,)	Magistrate Judge Bissoon
Respondents.)	

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

I. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by Demetrius Bailey be dismissed *sua sponte* because it fails to assert a claim cognizable under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. It is further recommended that a certificate of appealability be denied.

II. REPORT

Demetrius Bailey is a state prisoner who has filed a federal habeas petition pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He asserts that he was subjected to improper disciplinary proceedings while incarcerated at the State Correctional Institution at Greene, located in Waynesburg, Pennsylvania. He seeks a release from disciplinary custody and a return to the prison's general population.

It is well settled that relief requested through a writ of habeas corpus is limited. <u>See e.g.</u>, <u>Leamer v. Fauver</u>, 288 F.3d 532, 540 (3d Cir.2002). "[T]he essence of habeas corpus is an attack by a person in custody upon the legality of that custody, and . . . the traditional function of the writ is to secure release from illegal custody." <u>Preiser v. Rodriguez</u>, 411 U.S. 475, 484 (1973). On the other hand, a Section 1983 civil rights action is the proper remedy for a

prisoner who is seeking redress for a purported constitutional violation related to prison conditions. <u>Preiser</u>, 411 U.S. at 499. The Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has explained that:

whenever the challenge ultimately attacks the "core of habeas" -the validity of the continued conviction or the fact or length of the sentence challenge, however denominated and regardless of the relief sought, must be brought by way of a habeas corpus petition. Conversely, when the challenge is to a condition of confinement such that a finding in plaintiff's favor would not alter his sentence or undo his conviction, an action under § 1983 is appropriate.

Leamer, 288 F.3d at 542.

Here, a ruling in Bailey's favor would not affect the fact or duration of his conviction or sentence. Rather, he has challenged the conditions of his confinement. Clearly, "no matter what the outcome of [Bailey's] habeas petition, neither the fact nor the length of his incarceration will be affected." Bronson v. Demming, 56 Fed. Appx. 551, 553-54 (3d Cir.2002). Consequently, Baily's remedy lies not in a habeas corpus action, but in a civil rights suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and his federal habeas petition should be dismissed for failing to raise a claim cognizable under 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

Finally, to the extent that Bailey would require one, a certificate of appealability should be denied because jurists of reason would not find it debatable whether he has stated a cognizable federal habeas claim. See e.g. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473 (2000) (explaining

^{1.} There is precedent in the Third Circuit that would permit a federal prisoner to challenge conditions of his confinement under the habeas corpus statutes. See Woodall v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons, 432, F.3d 235 (3d Cir.2006). That precedent, however, applies only to *federal* prisoners. See Coady v. Vaughn, 251 F.3d 480, 485-86 (3d Cir.2001).

standard for grant of a certificate of appealability where court does not address petition on the

merits but on some procedural basis).

III. CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by Demetrius Bailey

be dismissed, and that a certificate of appealability be denied.

In accordance with the Magistrates Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) & (C) and Local Rule

72.1.4 B, objections to this Report and Recommendation are due by March 26, 2009. Failure to

timely file objections may constitute a waiver of any appellate rights.

s/Cathy Bissoon

Cathy Bissoon

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: March 9, 2009

cc:

DEMETRIUS BAILEY

CP-7819

SCI at Greene

175 Progress Drive

Waynesburg, PA 15370

3